Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/654,339	OGRAM, MARK E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edward R. Cosimano	3629
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Edward R. Cosimano</u> .	(3)	
(2) Inventor Ogram.	(4)	I
Date of Interview: <u>08 April 2003</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-13</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: the Communications Week article.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Inventor Ogram argued that the reference does not automatically accept the data as in the instant invetion. The examiner responded that the claims and the reference are broad enough to incompass the automated acceptance of the required data.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		l
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's siç	gnature, if required